

1 JUDGE FRANKLIN D. BURGESS
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
12 AT TACOMA

13
14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR 06-5537FDB
15 Plaintiff,)
16 v.) ORDER
17 PATRICK M. SHAFFER,) GRANTING UNOPPOSED
18 Defendant.) MOTION TO CONTINUE
19) TRIAL DATE AND
20) PRETRIAL MOTIONS'
21) DEADLINE
22)
23)

24 Upon the unopposed motion of Defendant Patrick M. Shaffer to continue the trial
25 and pretrial motions due date in the above-captioned case, and based upon the uncontested
26 facts set forth in the motion, which are hereby incorporated by reference and adopted as
27 findings of fact, the Court finds that a failure to grant a continuance in this matter would
28 deny the defense the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into
account the exercise of due diligence. Title 18 U.S.C. 3161 (h)(8)(B)(iv).

29 The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested
30 continuance outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial;
31 therefore,

32 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date in this case be continued to
33 December 18, 2006. The period of delay resulting from this continuance from October 23,
34 2006, up to and including the new trial date of December 18, 2006, is hereby excluded for

1 speedy trial purposes under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B) for the purpose of
2 computing the time limitations imposed by the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161-3174.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the pretrial motions due date be extended to
4 October 23, 2006.

5 DONE this 2nd day of October, 2006.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



HON. FRANKLIN D. BURGESS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

/s/
16 MIRIAM SCHWARTZ
17 Attorney for Defendant
18 Patrick M. Shaffer
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28